-	ase 7:23-cv-00381-RSB-PMS Document 57 Filed 01/29/24 Page 1 of 13 PageId#: 352
	CLERK'S OFFICE U.S. DISTRICT, COURT
	AT CHARLOTTESVILLE, VA
(JAN 2 9 2024
	Virginia. LADRAM AUSTIN, CLÈRK EN LADRAM AUSTIN, CLÈRK EN L'AUTICA STATE D'ACTOR L'AUGUST DE L'AUGU
	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DERUTY CLERK
	WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
	Roanake Division
,	TSAW_WALEED BLAKE.
	Paiotiff.
<u></u>	
	Civil Action No.: 7:23 cv 00381
	V. CIALLACTION INC. L. A.S.CV. ODS 71
<u></u>	Mr. Halloway et al.
	
(—	Defendants.
	Plaintiffs Responce To Defendants Motion To Dismiss For Failure To
	State A Claim
	Chare A Viain
	The Plaintiff, Isaw Walced Blake, an inmate housed in the
	Virginia Department of Corrections has filed this Action pursuant
	to 42 U.S.C. 3 1983 displaying factual Claims of Yielations of
	his Constitutional rights Under the 14, 8th, and 14th Amendments
-	
	Generally Plaintiff States the following Claims:
	The state of the s
* ************************************	
<u> </u>	· ·
-	

⊶ e		į	·	
		;		
. 6		•		
ζ.		1		•
		-	Manufacture of the Addition of the State of	
×*	Claim 1:		•	
,				
a (ii) a alla a a la galla (ii) a la galla (ii) a a a	Defendants, Sargent T. Joseph, Justin Sayler			
<u> </u>	Bobby J. Dye, Dusty Lelline, Erwin C. Browning,			
	J. Edmonds, Jody R. McBride, Roger Hylton and	F F	. 	
	Jeremy Remines all acted in their own individual an	d	الله الله الله الله الله الله الله الله	. البود الد
-	Official Capacities, as one unit all together in order	cto	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	engage in a assault on Plaintiff, by Kicking the Pla	intes	4	
	in his face and head causing life threatening in fur	125		
	to Plaintiff as well as punched. Plaintiff does not h	za)	
and the second s	Which Defendant punched or kicked him the hardes	00		
-(Who Caused the most harm with their work boots	<u> </u>		
	therefore each Defendant Violated Plaintiffs Eighth			
	Amendment of the United States Constitution, and 18			
·	U.S.C.S. 3 242. (Also See Exhibits: E,F,I) under Color of	<u> </u>		
	Law	 	**************************************	
				47
	Defendant Tikki Hicks in her own individual and official			
·	Capacities under Color of law failed to act, upon notice of Plaint	JFs_		
	life threatening injuries, the moment Defendant Tikki Hicks		·	
***************************************	Viewed the Plaintiff through the window in RHU where the	· ·		
	Plaintiff laid in 5 point restraint, Suffering through life threade	ດເກຊຸ່	والمسابق سابع فيلى ودائر مقالة وسيار والمساورة والمساورة	
	injuries and chemical agent violating Plaintiffs Eighth Amendm	- 4		
	of the United States Constitution, and 18 U.S.C. \$242 = 241, und		p	
	Color of Law. (See Exhibits: F, I, K, N) = (See Exhibit: And A-14)	<u>, į</u>	things on the state of the stat	 _,
the contract of the contract o		· j		
		- {	- 	

Case 7:23-cv-00381-RSB-PMS Document 57

Filed 01/29/24 Page 4 of 13 Pageid#: 355

Caser 7:23-cv-00381-RSB-PMS Document 57 Filed 01/29/24 Page 5 of 13 Pageid#: 356

Case₁7:23-cv-00381-RSB-PMS Document 57 Filed 01/29/24 Page 6 of 13 Pageid#: 357

Case 7:23-cv-00381-RSB-PMS Document 57

	Case 7:23-cv-00381-RSB-PMS Document 57 Filed 01/29/24 Page 8 of 13 Pageid#: 359
•	
<i>p</i>	
. (
7	Villi Other Control of the Control of the
	Violating Plaintiffs Eighth Amendment of the United States Constitution.
,	OTATES CONSTITUTION.
<u></u>	And Defendant Jeremy Remines acted in his own
	individual and official Capacities under color of
<u></u>	law to assault the Plaintiff inside the shower in
مان المان الم	RHU on 9/13/2022 Violating Plaintiff's Eighth
	Amendment of the United States Constitution.
	(See Exhibit: F; I)
<u> </u>	Each and every one of the named Defendants
(above 1-9 Made false Reports Violating 18 U.
	S.C. 3242 prohibits a person acting Under color of any
*	law, statute ordinance, regulation, or custom, to willfully
	Subject any person in any State, Territory, Commonwealth,
	Possession, or District to the deprivation of any rights,
	Prixileges, or immunities secured or protected by the
, <u></u>	Constitution or laws of the United States.
<u></u>	The Four necessary elements to prove the deprivation of rights under 3242, are:
	under 3 272; are.
	One, The Defendants Whose Motion to Dismiss you are
	Considering, deprived the Plaintiff of a right which is
	Secured or protected by the Constitution of the United ~
T	
	B

11

Filed 01/29/24 Page 11 of 13 Pageid#: 362

Two; The Conopiracy was directed at the deprivation
of a right which is secured or protected by the
Constitution or laws of the United States, here, the right
not to be subjected to cruel and unusual punishment.
Three; The Defendants acted willfully to deprive the
Plaintiff of Such right, and Four; The Defendants
acted under Color of law.
The Plaintiff respectfully state, that if you should find
from your Consideration of all the evidence as to each
defendant that any of these elements has not been proved
beyond a reasonable doubt, then you should find in favor of
the Defendants.
Wherefore, Plaintiff respectfully request that this court
acknowledge Plaintiffs effort in his attempts to litigate
his Civel proceedings having no lawyer and no Knowledge
at all and very little fine to research.
Plaintiff ask that this Court Deny Defendants Motion to
Dismiss and grant/rule in flaintiffs favor.
Respectfully Gubmitted Isaw w Blake
Down Sak # 1106405 Wallens Ridge Stat Prison
Date: iliolage 272 Dog ward Drive
Signature. Big Stone Gap, VA 24219: